



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
(Sprint Docket No. 1814)

In re Application of:	)	
	)	
Pawan Chaturvedi et al.	)	
	)	Group Art Unit: 2616
Serial No.: 10/086,017	)	
	)	
Conf. No. 1162	)	
	)	Examiner: Alexander O. Boakye
Filed: February 28, 2002	)	
	)	
For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR	)	
PROVIDING DIAL-UP DATA	)	
SESSIONS WITH DISTRIBUTED	)	
SERVICE	)	

Mail Stop Issue Fee  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Dear Sir:

Applicants express appreciation for the Examiner's allowance of the present application.

Applicants understand that the Examiner has thoroughly examined the claims and prior art of record and has concluded that the art of record, whether considered alone or in combination, fails to disclose or suggest the entirety of each combination of steps and/or structure recited by each of the allowed claims, that the Examiner has found each claim as a whole to patentably distinguish over the art of record, and that patentability of the claims does not rest on only those aspects that the Examiner listed in the reasons for allowance.

Furthermore, Applicants submit that the reasons for allowance are clear from the record of prosecution as a whole and, thus, that a separate Statement of Reasons for Allowance is unnecessary in this case.

In addition, Applicant notes that the Examiner did not initial reference number 18 on the information disclosure statement that the Examiner considered on March 18, 2007 and mailed together with the notice of allowance. Applicant understands that the Examiner considered all of the references listed on the information disclosure statement. If this is incorrect, Applicant requests clarification.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 10-10-2007

By: Melissa A. Jobe  
Melissa A. Jobe  
Reg. No. 54,605